

VACCINES AVAILABLE AND SYSTEMS FOR USAGE IN THE FIELD

Erica Spackman, MS, PhD
US National Poultry Research Center
US Dept. of Agriculture
Agricultural Research Service
Athens, GA, USA



U.S. National Poultry
Research Center



1

Disclaimer

- No vaccine type or manufacturer is being endorsed
- References are not exhaustive and are mostly provided where data are new or otherwise limited

2

Definitions: Protection and Efficacious

- Maintain animal health and minimize production losses
 - No mortality or morbidity
- Reduce virus spread/onward transmission
 - Reduce shed titers 100X or more
- Reduce public health risk
 - e.g., vaccination of ducks for H5N1

3

Technical Considerations: Good quality vaccine

- Has an adequate antigenic load to induce immunity
- Contains an antigen which is:
 - Antigenically closely related to the field strain (and the field strain is monitored for antigenic drift)
 - Sufficiently immunogenic
 - Autogenous vaccines work well if adequately immunogenic
- Contains a sufficient antigenic load
- Effective adjuvant
- Administered effectively to birds

4

Technical Considerations: Antigen selection/optimization

- Matching:
 - Antigenic cartography
 - Protective epitopes
 - Programs to monitor antigenic variants
- Breadth of response:
 - Mixing antigens: Prime/boost with different vaccines
 - Computationally optimized broadly reactive antigen (COBRA) induces a broader response (Bertran, Vaccine 2021)
- Immunogenicity:
 - Adjuvants

5

Technical Considerations: Adjuvants

- Inactivated vaccines must be adjuvanted
- Most evaluation work has been done in chickens
- Many mineral oil-based adjuvants are commercially available
- Novel/experimental adjuvants for poultry:
 - Interleukins, Rig-I ligands, poly I:C, chitosan, *B. subtilis* spores, novel mineral oil formulations

6

Vaccine availability

- No technical barriers to producing vaccines
 - Supply of sufficient quantities of suitable vaccine
 - Stockpiles/vaccine banks
 - Which antigen?
 - Production considerations (logistical considerations)
 - Regulatory considerations
 - Licensing
 - Production

7

Vaccine platforms: Inactivated vaccines

- Chemically inactivated whole virus, adjuvanted oil emulsion
 - 95.5% of all AIV vaccine use by dose (Swayne, Rev Sci Tech 2011).
- Effective in numerous species
 - Most efficacy data have been produced with chickens and turkeys
 - Limited data with other species: Pekin Ducks, Domestic geese, indicate broad efficacy. (Rudolf, Rev Sci Tech 2009; Kilany, PLOS ONE 2016; Pantin-Jackwood, Av Dis 2019)
 - Efficacious for many species, could potentially protect zoo birds, endangered species in addition to poultry
 - Data lacking on non-poultry species

8

Vaccine platforms: Inactivated vaccines

- Primarily induces humoral immunity
- Requires:
 - Strain that replicates to high titers in eggs
 - Low pathogenic cleavage site
- Inactivated vaccines relatively expensive to administer
- Regulatory withdrawal time for meat birds in some countries
- Inactivated vectored APMV-1
 - Bi-valent AIV – NDV with HA insert

9

Vaccine platforms: Vectored Vaccines

- Induce cellular immunity
- Licensing varies by country
- May be affected by prior exposure or maternally derived antibody (MDA) to the vector or AIV insert
- Some vectors species specific
- Proteins not altered by chemical inactivation
- Egg-free production
 - Logistics and mutations
- Subunit vaccines
 - Allow for antigen updates (can be affected by regulations)
 - Applicable to some DIVA strategies

10

Vaccine platforms: Common vectors and sub-unit vaccines

- Replicating Vectors
 - Herpes virus of turkeys (HVT)
 - Fowlpox virus (FPV)
 - Avian paramyxovirus type-1 (APMV-1) Newcastle disease vaccines
- Non-replicating vectors
 - Alphavirus virus-like particles (VLP)
 - Baculovirus VLP
 - Inactivated APMV-1
- Nucleic acid
 - Self amplifying-RNA (sa-RNA)
 - DNA & mRNA

11

Vaccine platforms: Herpes virus of turkeys (HVT)

- Stable well characterized vector
- Induces cellular immunity
 - Can tolerate antigenic variation better than inactivated vaccines
 - Immunity not fully inhibited by AIV MDA (Bertran, Vaccine 2018)
- Can be mass applied to chickens (*in ovo*)
- Immunity can be enhanced when used as a priming vaccination
- Efficacious for chickens and turkeys

12

Vaccine platforms: Herpes virus of turkeys (HVT)

- Pekin ducks (*Anas platyrhynchos domesticus*)
 - Vector does not replicate sufficiently, and protection is poor (Pantin-Jackwood, Av Dis 2016; Palya, Av Dis 2016)
- Muscovy ducks (*Cairina moschata*) and Mule ducks
 - Vector replicates better and confers some protection (Kilany, PLOS ONE 2016; Palya, Av Dis 2016)
- Domestic geese (*Anser anser*)
 - Vector replicated better than other waterfowl, but less than chickens (Palya, Av Dis 2016)

13

Vaccine platforms: Duck enteritis virus

- Herpesvirus which causes an important disease in domestic ducks that is controlled by vaccination
- Disease from H5 HPAIV in ducks varies by strain, duck age and duck species.
 - Can reduce shed titers and virus spread
- Has been shown to be efficacious in domestic ducks and chickens (reduced mortality and virus shed) (Liu, J. Virol 2011; Liu, Antiviral Res. 2013; Chen, Vaccine 2019)

14

Vaccine platforms: Fowlpox virus (FPV)

- One of the oldest vaccine vectors for poultry (Taylor, Vaccine 1988)
- Administration primarily by wing-web stab
- Not fully inhibited by FPV MDA
- Limited host range: Most efficacious in Chickens
 - Has been shown to work in Pekin and Muscovy ducks with inactivated vaccines booster (Steensels, Vaccine, 2009; Niqueux, Vaccine 2013;)
- Best as a live prime in chicks

15

Vaccine platforms: Avian paramyxovirus type-1 (APMV-1) Newcastle disease vectored vaccines

- Used in Mexico and China
- Can be mass applied – spray, water
- Induces mucosal and cellular immunity
- Most data from chickens and turkeys, but may be able to protect other avian species
- Interference from APMV-1 MDA (Bertran, Vaccine 2018) or exposure to widely used live ND vaccines limit application
 - Live prime vaccine after MDA wane or naïve chicks

16

Vaccine platforms: Alphavirus virus-like particle

- Non-replicating vector, virus-like particles without packaging machinery
- Multiple doses can be used (does not interfere with itself)
- Data are much more limited than older vaccines, but appears to be efficacious in numerous species:
 - Chickens (Bertran, Vaccine 2017; Ladman, Av Path, 2019)
 - Turkeys (Santos, Vaccine 2017; Kapczynski, Vet Imm 2017)
 - Pekin ducks (Pantin-Jackwood, Av Dis 2019)
- Licensed in US

17

Vaccine platforms: Baculovirus based vaccines

- Native antigen presentation
- Immunostimulatory
- Multiple doses can be used
- Virus-like particles
- Purified protein
 - Quadrivalent vaccines for seasonal influenza (Arunachalam, NPJ Vaccines 2021)
- Bivalent LPAIV vaccine immunogenic in chickens (Sun, Front Imm 2022)
- Bivalent HPAIV vaccine protective in chickens (Hu, Front Vet Sci 2021)
- Intranasal and oral administration has been protective in mice (Kumar, PLOS ONE, 2013; Basak, PLOS ONE 2020)

18

Vaccine platforms: Self amplifying-RNA (sa-RNA)

- Viral (non-segmented, positive sense ssRNA, e.g., alphavirus) replicase drives amplification of RNA and subsequent translation of the antigen
- More efficient than DNA or mRNA
 - Lower dose vs mRNA vaccine (1/64) was protective for mice challenged with influenza (Vogel, Gene & Cell Ther. 2017)
- May be encapsulated for better stability

19

THANK YOU FOR
YOUR ATTENTION

20